

METHOD OF INHIBITING BODY FAT STORES

CROSS-REFERENCE TO A RELATED APPLICATION

This application is a continuation-in-part of our co-
pending application, Ser. No. 837,148, filed Apr. 7,
1986, now U.S. Pat. No. 4,659,715, issued Apr. 21, 1987.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to a method for reducing body
fat stores in vertebrate animals without causing signifi-
cant weight loss by administering to the animal an agent
to suppress its prolactin secretion.

A method for reducing body fat stores without caus-
ing significant weight loss would be valuable to the
livestock industry as a better grade of meat could be
obtained without a concomitant lowering of the price
paid per animal due to weight loss. In humans, such a
method would be valuable to athletes who strive to
obtain a low percentage of body fat without a loss in
muscle mass.

It is, therefore, an object of this invention to provide
this method.

THE INVENTION

In accordance with the method of this invention, it
has been found that inhibiting the pituitary gland's pro-
duction of prolactin will result in body fat stores being
reduced in vertebrate animals without significant body
weight loss.

Inhibiting prolactin secretion is effected by adminis-
tering, to the animal, a pharmaceutically appropriate
dose of a prolactin-inhibitor, such as various ergot-
related compounds. The dosing may be by oral or by
peritoneal, e.g., subcutaneous or intramuscular injec-
tion, administration.

Exemplary of ergot-related prolactin-inhibitors are:
2-bromo- α -ergocryptine; 6-methyl-8 β -carbobenzyloxy-
aminomethyl-10 α -ergoline; 1,6-dimethyl-8 β -carboben-
zyloxy-aminomethyl-10 α -ergoline; 8-acylaminoergo-
lenes, such as 6-methyl-8 α -(N-acyl)amino-9-ergolene
and 6-methyl-8 α -(N-phenylacetyl)amino-9-ergolene;
ergocornine; 9,10-dihydroergocornine; and D-2-halo-6-
alkyl-8-substituted ergolines, e.g., D-2-bromo-6-meth-
yl-8-cyanomethylergoline. The foregoing ergot-related
compounds and the processes for their formation are
known to the art. From the standpoint of side effects,
especially that on fertility, 2-bromo- α -ergocryptine has
been found to be highly suitable for the method of this
invention.

The non-toxic salts of the prolactin-inhibiting ergot-
related compounds formed from pharmaceutically ac-
ceptable acids are also useful in the method of this in-
vention.

Different animal species exhibit dissimilar prolactin-
inhibition sensitivity to ergot-related compounds.
Hence, the dosage required to obtain significant reduc-
tions in body fat stores varies over a fairly wide range.
In fact, it has been found that a proper dosage range for
a selected animal species also can be quite wide. For
example, a study of golden hamsters showed that an
intraperitoneal daily dose, as low as 0.15 mg/kg body
weight and as high as 6.00 mg/kg of body weight, of
2-bromo- α -ergocryptine in divided doses of two times a
day for a 24-day period gave good reductions in body
fat stores without significant losses in body weight.
Thus, the suitable dosage range is best determined em-

pirically for each animal species. Generally, the mini-
mum dosage to obtain the body fat stores reduction
sought will be the preferred dosage as the chance of
unwanted side effects is diminished and the cost of dos-
ing will be kept to a minimum. As a guide, most animal
species upon which the method of this invention would
be used commercially, e.g., swine, ruminants and hu-
mans, will exhibit the body fat store reduction desired
with daily intramuscular dosages of 2-bromo- α -ergo-
cryptine within the range of from about 0.15 mg/kg
body weight to about 6.0 mg/kg body weight.

Capsules or tablets containing the unit doses of the
ergot-related compound are suitable for oral dosing.
Generally, the ergot-related compound will be used as a
pharmaceutically acceptable salt when administered
orally. If peritoneal dosing is used, the ergot-related
compound will be provided with conventional sterile
diluent, such as, mannitol, sucrose, vegetable oil, etc.
The duration of administration may vary from species
to species.

The period of time over which the dosage of the
prolactin-inhibitor is administered is an important as-
pect of the method of this invention. However, gener-
ally, if the animal is for commercial slaughtering, the
period of time for dosage should be at least 7 days in
length and up to the fifth day before slaughter. It is
believed desirable to cease dosing five days before
slaughter to allow the prolactin-inhibitor to be substan-
tially eliminated from the animal's system at the time of
slaughter. If the animal is being subjected to long-term
treatment, in accordance with the method of this inven-
tion, then the dosing is first given at the above levels for
that period of time necessary to achieve the desired
body fat stores level and is thereafter dosed so as to
maintain that level for the extended period. In either
case, for the dosing to yield significant results, the dos-
ing should be maintained for at least 7 days and prefera-
bly at least about 10 days.

It is theorized, though the method of this invention is
not limited thereby, that the administration of a prolac-
tin-inhibitor to an animal reduces or abolishes the lipo-
genic responses of hepatocytes to insulin and severely
depresses the hepatocyte insulin receptor number. Since
body fat stores are dependent on the synergistic action
between prolactin and insulin to increase hepatic lipo-
genesis, the abolishment of prolactin secretion stills
hepatic lipogenesis.

EXAMPLES

Mature (3-7 months old) male golden hamsters,
Mesocricetus auratus (body weight: 100-150 g) were
caged in pairs, fed ad libitum, maintained at 23° C. and
provided 14-h daily photoperiods (light onset: 0800 h).
The hamsters were injected (i.p.) daily at 0800 and 1400
with 2-bromo- α -ergocryptine (300 ug/0.1 ml peanut oil)
or peanut oil (controls). Food consumption was moni-
tored daily. After 24 days of treatment, the animals
were killed by overdose of sodium pentobarbital to
obtain body weights, abdominal and epididymal fat pad
weights, and testes and seminal vesicle weights. Statis-
tical differences between the two groups were tested by
Student's *t* to determine the significance, "P". The re-
sults are given in the table.

In the Examples shown in Table I the 2-bromo- α -
ergocryptine treatment reduced (*P*<0.01) abdominal
fat weight 47% and epididymal fat weight 32% com-
pared with control treatment. However, 2-bromo- α -